

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/783,733	Applicant(s) GOLD ET AL.	
	Examiner Phuoc H. Nguyen	Art Unit 2143	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Phuoc H. Nguyen.

(3) _____

(2) Kenneth J. LuKacher (Reg. No. 38,539).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 5 July 2007

Time: 10:30AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 7, 8, 18, 27, and 31.

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During the interview the applicant's representative and examiner discussed about all independent claims. Examiner suggested applicant's representative to define the interval of time to a specific range into all independent claims would place the application in a condition for allowance. Applicant's representative agreed to do so and further authorized examiner to perform the examiner's amendment .